

Stefan Heinrich

Universität Kaiserslautern, Germany

On the complexity of computing the L_q norm

We study the complexity of computing

$$S(f) = \|f\|_{L_q(Q)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_Q |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \text{ess sup}_{t \in Q} |f(t)| & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

that is, the L_q norm of a function. We assume that f is from the unit ball of the Sobolev space $W_p^r(Q)$, where $Q = [0, 1]^d$, and $r \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ are such that $W_p^r(Q)$ is embedded into $L_q(Q)$. Information is standard, that is, consists of function values. Thus, we want to determine the (order of the) optimal error $e_n(S)$ of approximating the norm $S(f)$, based on algorithms using not more than n values of f .

A general result of G. W. Wasilkowski (Some nonlinear problems are as easy as the approximation problem, *Comp. & Maths. with Appls.* 10 (1984), 351-363) states that in the deterministic setting the n -th minimal errors $e_n^{\text{det}}(S)$ are of the same order as $e_n^{\text{det}}(J)$, where J is the embedding $J : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow L_q(Q)$, thus we can derive the order of $e_n^{\text{det}}(S)$ directly from known results on approximation. In the randomized setting such a general result no longer holds.

We present and analyze a randomized algorithm for computing $S(f)$ and provide lower bounds, which allow to determine the order of the randomized n -th minimal errors $e_n^{\text{ran}}(S)$. We also provide comparisons to $e_n^{\text{det}}(S)$ as well as to $e_n^{\text{ran}}(J)$ and discuss some extensions and open problems.