

Lebesgue points of functions from Sobolev classes on metric measure spaces in the limiting case

S.A.Bondarev

Belarusian State University

Będlewo, 19 September, 2017

Theorem

Let $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \geq 1$ — summable function. Following property takes place a.e.

$$f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dy$$

- What if function is non-summable ($0 < p < 1$)?
- What can we say about Lebesgue points, when f is more regular (for example, belongs to certain function space)?

Theorem

Let $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \geq 1$ — summable function. Following property takes place a.e.

$$f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dy$$

- What if function is non-summable ($0 < p < 1$)?
- What can we say about Lebesgue points, when f is more regular (for example, belongs to certain function space)?

Theorem

Let $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \geq 1$ — summable function. Following property takes place a.e.

$$f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dy$$

- What if function is non-summable ($0 < p < 1$)?
- What can we say about Lebesgue points, when f is more regular (for example, belongs to certain function space)?

Denote by $\Lambda(f)$ the complement of the set of all Lebesgue points.

Lebesgue theorem: $\mu(\Lambda(f)) = 0$ for any function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$.

$\Lambda(f)$ is an exceptional set — a «small» set for which measure appears to be a «rough» instrument in most applications.

Denote by $\Lambda(f)$ the complement of the set of all Lebesgue points.

Lebesgue theorem: $\mu(\Lambda(f)) = 0$ for any function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$.

$\Lambda(f)$ is an exceptional set — a «small» set for which measure appears to be a «rough» instrument in most applications.

Approaches to measure size of exceptional set:

- Measure
- Hausdorff measure, content and dimension
- Capacities, generated by function spaces

Approaches to measure size of exceptional set:

- Measure
- Hausdorff measure, content and dimension
- Capacities, generated by function spaces

Approaches to measure size of exceptional set:

- Measure
- Hausdorff measure, content and dimension
- Capacities, generated by function spaces

Spaces of homogeneous type

(X, d, μ) , where d — metric, μ — σ -finite Borel measure

We assume that doubling condition holds

$$\exists a_\mu > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq a_\mu \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad r > 0.$$

Doubling condition has a quantitative form

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, R)) \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^\gamma \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad 0 < r \leq R.$$

- One can take $\gamma = \log_2 a_\mu$
- γ plays the role of the dimension of the space

Spaces of homogeneous type

(X, d, μ) , where d — metric, μ — σ -finite Borel measure

We assume that doubling condition holds

$$\exists a_\mu > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq a_\mu \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad r > 0.$$

Doubling condition has a quantitative form

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, R)) \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^\gamma \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad 0 < r \leq R.$$

- One can take $\gamma = \log_2 a_\mu$
- γ plays the role of the dimension of the space

Spaces of homogeneous type

(X, d, μ) , where d — metric, μ — σ -finite Borel measure

We assume that doubling condition holds

$$\exists a_\mu > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq a_\mu \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad r > 0.$$

Doubling condition has a quantitative form

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, R)) \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^\gamma \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad 0 < r \leq R.$$

- One can take $\gamma = \log_2 a_\mu$
- γ plays the role of the dimension of the space

Spaces of homogeneous type

(X, d, μ) , where d — metric, μ — σ -finite Borel measure

We assume that doubling condition holds

$$\exists a_\mu > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq a_\mu \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad r > 0.$$

Doubling condition has a quantitative form

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \quad \mu(B(x, R)) \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^\gamma \mu(B(x, r)), \quad x \in X, \quad 0 < r \leq R.$$

- One can take $\gamma = \log_2 a_\mu$
- γ plays the role of the dimension of the space

Sobolev classes on spaces of homogeneous type

Let f be a measurable function on a metric space X , $\alpha > 0$.
 $g \in D_\alpha[f]$, if there exists a set $E \subset X$, $\mu(E) = 0$ and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq [d(x, y)]^\alpha [g(x) + g(y)], \quad x, y \notin E.$$

$$W_\alpha^p(X) = \{f : L^p(X) \cap D_\alpha[f] \neq \emptyset\} \cap L^p(X)$$

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(X)} + \inf \|g\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

$W_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with usual Sobolev space.

Sobolev classes on spaces of homogeneous type

Let f be a measurable function on a metric space X , $\alpha > 0$.
 $g \in D_\alpha[f]$, if there exists a set $E \subset X$, $\mu(E) = 0$ and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq [d(x, y)]^\alpha [g(x) + g(y)], \quad x, y \notin E.$$

$$W_\alpha^p(X) = \{f : L^p(X) \cap D_\alpha[f] \neq \emptyset\} \cap L^p(X)$$

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(X)} + \inf \|g\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

$W_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with usual Sobolev space.

Sobolev classes on spaces of homogeneous type

Let f be a measurable function on a metric space X , $\alpha > 0$.
 $g \in D_\alpha[f]$, if there exists a set $E \subset X$, $\mu(E) = 0$ and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq [d(x, y)]^\alpha [g(x) + g(y)], \quad x, y \notin E.$$

$$W_\alpha^p(X) = \{f : L^p(X) \cap D_\alpha[f] \neq \emptyset\} \cap L^p(X)$$

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(X)} + \inf \|g\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

$W_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with usual Sobolev space.

Sobolev classes on spaces of homogeneous type

Let f be a measurable function on a metric space X , $\alpha > 0$.
 $g \in D_\alpha[f]$, if there exists a set $E \subset X$, $\mu(E) = 0$ and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq [d(x, y)]^\alpha [g(x) + g(y)], \quad x, y \notin E.$$

$$W_\alpha^p(X) = \{f : L^p(X) \cap D_\alpha[f] \neq \emptyset\} \cap L^p(X)$$

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(X)} + \inf \|g\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

$W_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with usual Sobolev space.

Hausdorff content, measure and dimension

Let $h : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be an increasing function, $h(+0) = 0$.

Hausdorff content

$$H_R^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(r_i) : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, r_i), r_i < R \right\}.$$

Hausdorff measure

$$H^h(E) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +0} H_R^h(E).$$

Classical case $h(r) = r^s$.

Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_H(E) = \inf \{s : H^s(E) = 0\}.$$

Hausdorff content, measure and dimension

Let $h : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be an increasing function, $h(+0) = 0$.

Hausdorff content

$$H_R^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(r_i) : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, r_i), r_i < R \right\}.$$

Hausdorff measure

$$H^h(E) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +0} H_R^h(E).$$

Classical case $h(r) = r^s$.

Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_H(E) = \inf \{s : H^s(E) = 0\}.$$

Hausdorff content, measure and dimension

Let $h : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be an increasing function, $h(+0) = 0$.

Hausdorff content

$$H_R^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(r_i) : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, r_i), r_i < R \right\}.$$

Hausdorff measure

$$H^h(E) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +0} H_R^h(E).$$

Classical case $h(r) = r^s$.

Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_H(E) = \inf \{s : H^s(E) = 0\}.$$

Hausdorff content, measure and dimension

Let $h : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be an increasing function, $h(+0) = 0$.

Hausdorff content

$$H_R^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(r_i) : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, r_i), r_i < R \right\}.$$

Hausdorff measure

$$H^h(E) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +0} H_R^h(E).$$

Classical case $h(r) = r^s$.

Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_H(E) = \inf \{s : H^s(E) = 0\}.$$

Classes W_α^p generate capacities

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = \inf \left\{ \|f\|_{W_\alpha^p}^p : f \in W_\alpha^p, f \geq 1 \text{ in neighbourhood of } E \subset X \right\}$$

Theorem (Kinnunen, Martio, 1996)

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $x_0 \in X$, then

1) for any $E \subset X$

$$\mu(E) \leq \text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E),$$

2) for $0 < r \leq 1$

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(B(x_0, r)) \leq cr^{-\alpha p} \mu(B(x_0, r)).$$

Approximation by constants

Let $B \subset X$ be a ball and $f \in L^p(B)$, $p > 0$. Then there exists the number $I_B^{(p)} f \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_B |f(y) - c|^p d\mu(y) = \int_B |f(y) - I_B^{(p)} f|^p d\mu(y).$$

If $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(X)$, then for almost all points $x \in X$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} I_{B(x,r)}^{(p)} = f^*(x).$$

This is how the Lebesgue point can be defined for non-summable function.

Approximation by constants

Let $B \subset X$ be a ball and $f \in L^p(B)$, $p > 0$. Then there exists the number $I_B^{(p)} f \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_B |f(y) - c|^p d\mu(y) = \int_B |f(y) - I_B^{(p)} f|^p d\mu(y).$$

If $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(X)$, then for almost all points $x \in X$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} I_{B(x,r)}^{(p)} = f^*(x).$$

This is how the Lebesgue point can be defined for non-summable function.

Lebesgue point for W_{α}^p , $p \geq 0$

Theorem

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq p < \gamma/\alpha$ and $f \in W_{\alpha}^p(X)$. Then there exists a set $E \subset X$ such that for any $x \in X \setminus E$ the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} I_{B(x,r)}^{(p)} f \, d\mu = f^*(x)$$

exists. Besides,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f - f^*(x)|^q \, d\mu = 0, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}.$$

Lebesgue point for W_{α}^p , $p \geq 0$

Following statements take place

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E) \leq \gamma - \alpha p$$

One can take more general outer measure ν satisfying the condition

$$\nu(B) \lesssim r^{-\alpha p} \mu(B).$$

Lebesgue point for W_α^p , $p \geq 0$

Following statements take place

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E) \leq \gamma - \alpha p$$

One can take more general outer measure ν satisfying the condition

$$\nu(B) \lesssim r^{-\alpha p} \mu(B).$$

Lebesgue points for critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$

What can we expect in the critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$?

What is the optimal growth of function ϕ ?

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \phi(|f - f_B|) d\mu = 0,$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \phi(|f - I_B^{(p)} f|) d\mu = 0.$$

Lebesgue points for critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$

What can we expect in the critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$?

What is the optimal growth of function ϕ ?

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \phi(|f - f_B|) d\mu = 0,$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \phi(|f - I_B^{(p)} f|) d\mu = 0.$$

Sobolev embedding theorem says that if $\gamma > \alpha p$, then

$$W_{\alpha}^p(X) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^q(X), \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$$

If $\gamma = \alpha p$, q tends to infinity. But the embedding

$$W_{\alpha}^p(X) \subset L^{\infty}(X)$$

is false.

Sobolev embedding theorem says that if $\gamma > \alpha p$, then

$$W_{\alpha}^p(X) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^q(X), \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$$

If $\gamma = \alpha p$, q tends to infinity. But the embedding

$$W_{\alpha}^p(X) \subset L^{\infty}(X)$$

is false.

Sobolev embedding is closely connected to Poincaré inequality

$$\left(\int_B |f - f_B|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c_q r_B^\alpha \left(\int_{\sigma B} g^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

When q tends to infinity, c_q blows up.

Sobolev embedding is closely connected to Poincaré inequality

$$\left(\int_B |f - f_B|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c_q r_B^\alpha \left(\int_{\sigma B} g^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

When q tends to infinity, c_q blows up.

Sobolev embedding in critical case

If $\gamma = p > 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and X is connected

$$W_p^1(X) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^\phi(X), \quad \phi(t) = e^{t^{p/(p-1)}} - 1.$$

$X = \mathbb{R}^n$, Trudinger, 1967

Hajlasz–Koskela, 1998

Makmanus–Pérez, 2002

The idea of the proof is to develop an exponent into power series and analyze the behavior of constant c_q from Poincaré inequality. It appears that

$$c_q \asymp q^{(n-1)/n}.$$

Sobolev embedding in critical case

If $\gamma = p > 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and X is connected

$$W_p^1(X) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^\phi(X), \quad \phi(t) = e^{t^{p/(p-1)}} - 1.$$

$X = \mathbb{R}^n$, Trudinger, 1967

Hajlasz–Koskela, 1998

Makmanus–Pérez, 2002

The idea of the proof is to develop an exponent into power series and analyze the behavior of constant c_q from Poincaré inequality. It appears that

$$c_q \asymp q^{(n-1)/n}.$$

Sobolev embedding in critical case

If $\gamma = p > 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and X is connected

$$W_p^1(X) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^\phi(X), \quad \phi(t) = e^{t^{p/(p-1)}} - 1.$$

$X = \mathbb{R}^n$, Trudinger, 1967

Hajlasz–Koskela, 1998

Makmanus–Pérez, 2002

The idea of the proof is to develop an exponent into power series and analyze the behavior of constant c_q from Poincaré inequality. It appears that

$$c_q \asymp q^{(n-1)/n}.$$

Theorem

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\gamma = \alpha p$, $p > 0$ and $f \in W_\alpha^p(X)$. Then there exists a set $E \subset X$ such that for any $x \in X \setminus E$ the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} f_B = f^*(x)$$

exists. Besides, for any constant $c > 0$ and any $0 < \beta \leq 1$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \left[e^{c|f-f_B|^\beta} - 1 \right] d\mu = 0.$$

One can take $I_{B(x,r)}^{(p)} f$ instead of f_B .

Lebesgue points for critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$$

$$H^\delta(E) = 0 \text{ for any } \delta > 0, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E) = 0$$

One can take more general outer measure ν satisfying the condition

$$\nu(B) \lesssim r^{-\alpha p} \mu(B).$$

Lebesgue points for critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$$

$$H^\delta(E) = 0 \text{ for any } \delta > 0, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E) = 0$$

One can take more general outer measure ν satisfying the condition

$$\nu(B) \lesssim r^{-\alpha p} \mu(B).$$

Lebesgue points for critical case $\gamma = \alpha p$

In case $p > 1$ we can assume even more in previous theorem:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \int_{B(x,r)} \left[e^{c \left[\log \frac{1}{r} \right]^{p-1}} |f - f_B| - 1 \right] d\mu = 0.$$

for any $x \in X \setminus E$

$$\text{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$$

Thank you for your attention!